reference to the State board makes it difficult to vote
against this particular amendment. However, in further
considering this it would seem apparent that if this
amendment were adopted, we would then be faced with additional questions concerning language in State superintendent, and therefore, I would urge the Convention, the
Committee to vote against the particular amendment, even
though it doesn't appropriate the reference to the board
and suggest further that the debate could be limited to
more specific issues in Section 4.

I also would like to comment on the question of draftsmanship. It would seem to me more appropriate that Section 1 would be a general statement of educational policy throughout the State, and that Sections 4 and 5 would be held to more specific areas; and for that reason, draftsmanship and on the substantive questions presented, I would respectfully urge the Committee to reject this amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: We now enter the period of uncontrolled but limited debate. Are there any amendments to the amendment to be submitted? Is Delegate Kirkland in the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21